arXiv:0911.4917v1 [math.PR] 25 Nov 2009

On the Rate of Approximation in Finite-Alphabet Longest Increasing Subsequence Problems

C. Houdré[*], Zs. Talata[†]

November 13, 2009

Abstract

The rate of convergence of the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence, towards the maximum eigenvalue of certain matrix ensemble, is investigated. For finite-alphabet uniform and non-uniform iid sources, a rate of $\log n/\sqrt{n}$ is obtained. The uniform binary case is further explored, and an improved $1/\sqrt{n}$ rate obtained.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 60C05, 60G15, 60G17, 62E17, 62E20
Keywords: Longest increasing subsequence, Brownian functional, approximation, rate of convergence.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the length of the longest increasing subsequence in the n-length realizations of general iid sequences with alphabets of size m. As

---

[*] School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160 (e-mail: houdre@math.gatech.edu). Research supported in part by the NSA grant H98230-09-1-0017.

[†] Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, 405 Snow Hall, 1460 Jayhawk Boulevard, Lawrence, KS 66045-7523 (e-mail: talata@math.ku.edu). Research supported in part by the NSF grant DMS 0906929.

$n \to \infty$, the limiting distribution of the normalized length has direct connections to random matrix theory. If the iid sequence is uniformly distributed, Tracy and Widom [19] proved that the limiting distribution is that of the largest eigenvalue of the $m \times m$ traceless Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE); while for general iid sequences, Its, Tracy and Widom [11, 12] showed that it is the distribution of the largest eigenvalue of a direct sum of certain GUEs.

Limiting distributions in similar problems have also been formulated as Brownian functionals [2, 6, 7, 9]. In particular, in [9], the length of the longest increasing subsequence is obtained as a random walk functional, and the limiting distribution, as a Brownian functional. This direct approach allows to explore several questions of probabilistic and statistical nature in the longest increasing subsequence problem, such as the rate of convergence to the limiting distribution, which is investigated below.

To briefly describe the content of the paper, for general iid sequences we derive, in Section 4, an upper bound of order $\log n/\sqrt{n}$ on the rate of convergence, using strong approximation techniques. In the special case of uniform binary sequences ($m = 2$), the rate is sharpened in Section 5 to $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{n})$.

In previous works, the rate of convergence of certain random walk functionals has been investigated. For example, in queueing theory, Glynn and Whitt [6] obtained a similar rate via the KMT technique. In that problem, although the functional of an m-dimensional random walk is similar, the random walks are mutually independent, which is not our case. Moreover, what is meant there by rate of convergence is an almost sure upper bound on the deviation between the random walk and the Brownian functional. The order of that bound is given but its constant factor may depend on the realization of the process. Here, the random walks are dependent and, as usually stated, by rate of convergence we mean an upper bound on the deviation of the distribution functions.

Although the Skorokhod embedding of random walks usually provides a rate of $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/4})$ [16], when the random walk is one dimensional and the functionals are the supremum or the local score, Etienne and Vallois [4] obtained a rate of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\log n/n})$ using embedding techniques. It is not clear whether or not their results can be used or generalized to our problem.

To start with, we introduce, in the next section, some notation and also summarize some of the interplay between the longest increasing subsequence problem and random matrix theory.

2 Longest Increasing Subsequences

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of iid random variables with values in the ordered alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$, where $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_m$. Let $p_r = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = \alpha_r)$, $r = 1, \ldots, m$, with $p_{\max} = \max_{1 \le r \le m} p_r$ and let also k be the multiplicity of $p_{\max}$ among the probabilities p_r $(1 \le r \le m)$:

$$k = \#\{r : 1 \le r \le m, p_r = p_{\max}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Finally, let LI_n be the length of the longest increasing subsequence of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, i.e.,

$$LI_n = \max\left\{j : X_{i_1} \le X_{i_2} \le \cdots \le X_{i_j},\ \text{for some } 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \le n\right\}.$$

Properly renormalized, LI_n is known to converge to the maximal eigenvalue of some matrix ensemble (see [11],[12],[13],[19]). In fact, see [9],

$$\frac{LI_n - np_{\max}}{\sqrt{np_{\max}}} \Rightarrow J_k, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\sqrt{p_{\max}}\, J_k = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} r\sigma_r \tilde{B}^r(1) + \max_{\substack{0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \\ \le t_{m-1} \le t_m = 1 \\ t_r = t_{r-1},\, r \in I^*}} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sigma_r \tilde{B}^r(t_r), \tag{2.3}$$

with $\sigma_r^2 = p_r + p_{r+1} - (p_r - p_{r+1})^2$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ and $I^* = \{r : p_r < p_{\max}, 1 \le r \le m\}$. Above, $(\tilde{B}^1(t), ..., \tilde{B}^{m-1}(t))^\top$ is an $(m-1)$-dimensional driftless Brownian motion with covariance matrix

$$t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_{1,2} & \rho_{1,3} & \cdots & \rho_{1,m-1} \\ \rho_{2,1} & 1 & \rho_{2,3} & \cdots & \rho_{2,m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 1 & \rho_{m-2,m-1} \\ \rho_{m-1,1} & \rho_{m-1,2} & \cdots & \rho_{m-1,m-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\rho_{r,s} = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{p_r + \mu_r\mu_s}{\sigma_r\sigma_s} & \text{if } s = r-1 \\ -\dfrac{p_s + \mu_r\mu_s}{\sigma_r\sigma_s} & \text{if } s = r+1 \\ -\dfrac{\mu_r\mu_s}{\sigma_r\sigma_s} & \text{if } |r-s| > 1,\ 1 \le r, s \le m-1, \end{cases}$$

and $\mu_r = p_r - p_{r+1}$, $1 \le r \le m-1$.

Next, let

$$H_m = \sqrt{2}\left\{ -\frac{1}{m}\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} r\bar{B}^r(1) + \max_{\substack{0\,\le\, t_1 \,\le\, \cdots \\ \le\, t_{m-1} \,\le\, 1}} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \bar{B}^r(t_r) \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $(\bar{B}^1(t), ..., \bar{B}^{m-1}(t))^\top$ is an $(m-1)$-dimensional driftless Brownian motion with covariance matrix

$$t\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & & & \bigcirc \\ -1/2 & 1 & -1/2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \bigcirc & & -1/2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ & & & -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing (2.4) and (2.3), it is immediate to see that if the distribution on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ is uniform, that is $p_r = 1/m$, $r = 1, \ldots, m$, then $k = m$, $\mu_r = 0$, $\sigma_r^2 = 2/m$, and thus $J_m = H_m$. Hence,

$$\frac{LI_n - n/m}{\sqrt{n/m}} \Rightarrow H_m.$$

Let us now briefly recall the connections, originating in [2] and [7], between random matrix theory and the Brownian functionals encountered in the present paper.

An $m \times m$ element of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) is an $m \times m$ Hermitian random matrix $\{Y_{i,j}\}_{1 \le i,j \le m}$ with $Y_{i,i} \sim N(0,1)$ for $1 \le i \le m$, $\mathrm{Re}(Y_{i,j}) \sim N(0,1/2)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(Y_{i,j}) \sim N(0,1/2)$ for $1 \le i < j \le m$, and $Y_{i,i}$, $\mathrm{Re}(Y_{i,j})$, $\mathrm{Im}(Y_{i,j})$ are mutually independent for $1 \le i \le j \le m$.

Writing $x^{(m)} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for any $m \ge 1$, letting $\Delta(x^{(m)}) = \Pi_{1 \le i < j \le m}(x_i - x_j)$ be the Vandermonde determinant, the following facts hold true.

First, from [19] and [9], $\lambda_1^{(m,0)} \overset{\mathcal{L}}{=} H_m$, where $\lambda_1^{(m,0)}$ is the largest eigenvalue of the $m \times m$ *traceless* GUE. Using the joint density of the eigenvalues of the traceless $m \times m$ GUE ([17], [19]), the distribution function of H_m can be computed directly, for all $m \ge 2$ and all $s \ge 0$, as

$$\mathbb{P}(H_m \le s) = c_m^0 \int\limits_{\{\max x_j \le s\}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2} \Delta(x^{(m)})^2 \, \lambda_m(dx^{(m)}), \tag{2.5}$$

where λ_m is the Lebesgue measure concentrated on the hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_m = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 0\}$, and where

$$(c_m^0)^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2} \Delta(x^{(m)})^2 \, \lambda_m(dx^{(m)}) = (2\pi)^{(m-1)/2} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} i!.$$

Note that H_m is a.s. non-negative, and so $\mathbb{P}(H_m \le s) = 0$ for all $s < 0$.

Second, for all $k \ge 2$, J_k can be represented ([9], [10]) as

$$J_k = H_k + \sqrt{\frac{1 - kp_{\max}}{k}}\, Z, \tag{2.6}$$

where Z is a standard normal random variable and, moreover, H_k and Z are independent, while, $J_1 = \sqrt{1 - p_{\max}}\, Z$.

The distribution of J_k can be described ([11], [12]) as the largest eigenvalue of the direct sum of d mutually independent GUEs, each of size $k_j \times k_j$, $1 \le j \le d$, subject to the eigenvalue constraint $\sum_{i=1}^m \sqrt{p_i}\lambda_i = 0$. The k_j are the multiplicities of the probabilities having common values, the p_i are ordered in decreasing order, and the eigenvalues are ordered in terms of the GUEs corresponding to the appropriate values of p_i.

As shown in [12], for any $k \ge 1$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, J_k has distribution given by

$$\mathbb{P}(J_k \le s) = c_{k,p_{max}} \int\limits_{\{\max x_j \le s\}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 + \frac{p_{max}}{1-kp_{max}}(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i)^2\right]} \Delta(x^{(k)})^2 \, dx^{(k)},$$

where

$$c_{k,p_{max}}^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 + \frac{p_{max}}{1-kp_{max}}(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i)^2\right]} \Delta(x^{(k)})^2 \, dx^{(k)}.$$

Below, we study the rate of approximation in (2.2) and prove (see Section 4) that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{LI_n - np_{\max}}{\sqrt{np_{\max}}} \ge x \right) - \mathbb{P}\left(J_k \ge x\right) \right| \le C(m,k)\, \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where the constant $C(m,k)$ depends only on m and k.

3 Upper Bounds on the Density Functions

Our first results provide upper bounds on the density of the functionals J_k and H_k. Clearly, since $H_k/\sqrt{k} \to 2$, a.s. (see, e.g., [9]), $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f_{H_k}(x) \to +\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$, and similarly for f_{J_k}.

Proposition 3.1. *Let f_{H_k} be the probability density function of H_k. Then, for any $k = 2, 3, \ldots, m$,*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f_{H_k}(x) \le 2^{k^2/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2}(k-1)\left(\frac{2\pi k^5}{e}\right)^{k/2}\frac{\sqrt{2e}}{4\pi}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let f_{J_k} be the probability density function of J_k. Then, for any $k = 2, 3, \ldots, m-1$,*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f_{J_k}(x) \le \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi(1-kp_{max})}},\ 2^{k^2/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2}(k-1)\left(\frac{2\pi k^5}{e}\right)^{k/2}\frac{\sqrt{2e}}{4\pi}\right\},$$

and for $k = 1$, $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f_{J_1}(x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi(1-p_{max})}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Using (2.6), for $k > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{J_k}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{H_k}(u)\ f_{\sqrt{(1-kp_{\max})/k}\,Z}(x-u)\,du \\ &\le \sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}} f_{H_k}(u)\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{\sqrt{(1-kp_{\max})/k}\,Z}(x-u)\,du \\ &= \sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}} f_{H_k}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $k < m$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f_{J_k}(x) \le \sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}} f_{\sqrt{(1-kp_{\max})/k}\,Z}(u) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi(1-kp_{\max})}}.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1. To upper bound the density of H_k, consider its cumulative distribution function. By (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(H_k \le s) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(k-1)/2}}\int_{\{\max x_j \le s\}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 + 2\sum_{1\le i<j\le k}\log|x_i-x_j| - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\log i!\right)\lambda_k(dx), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathbb{P}(s \le H_k \le s+\varepsilon)$$
$$= \int_{\{s \le \max x_j \le s+\varepsilon\}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 + 2\sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \log|x_i - x_j| - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \log i!\right) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2}}{(2\pi)^{(k-1)/2}}\ \lambda_k(dx). \tag{3.7}$$

In order to dominate the first term of the integrand in (3.7), a bound (see [17, A.6]) going back to Stieltjes, asserts that

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 - \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \log|x_i - x_j| \ge \frac{1}{4}k(k-1)(1+\log 2) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\log i.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 - 2\sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \log|x_i - x_j| &= 2\left(\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 - \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \log|x_i - x_j|\right) \\
&= 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{x_i}{2}\right)^2 - \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \log\left|\frac{x_i}{2} - \frac{x_j}{2}\right|\right) - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} 2\log 2 \\
&\ge 2\left(\frac{1}{4}k(k-1)(1+\log 2) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\log i\right) - k(k-1)\log 2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(1+\log 2) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} i\log i - k(k-1)\log 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \log i! = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{i} \log j = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (k-j)\log j = k\log(k-1)! - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\log i. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 + 2\sum_{1\le i<j\le k} \log|x_i - x_j| - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \log i!\right) \\
&\le \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(1+\log 2) + k(k-1)\log 2 - k\log(k-1)! + 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\log i + k\log k\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Here, using Stirling's inequality

$$(k-1)! > \sqrt{2\pi(k-1)}\,(k-1)^{(k-1)}e^{-(k-1)+1/(12(k-1)+1)},$$

while

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i\log i \le \int_1^k i\log i\,di = \frac{k^2}{2}\log k - \frac{k^2}{4} + \frac{1}{4},$$

the exponent in (3.10) can be upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)(1+\log 2) + k(k-1)\log 2 - k(k-1)\log(k-1)\\
&-k\left(-(k-1) + \frac{1}{12(k-1)+1} + \log\sqrt{2\pi(k-1)}\right) + k\log k\\
&+k^2\log k - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\\
&= k^2\left(-\frac{1}{2}(1+\log 2) + \log 2 - \log(k-1) + 1 + \log k - \frac{1}{2}\right)\\
&+k\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+\log 2) - \log 2 + \log(k-1) - 1 - \frac{1}{12(k-1)+1} + \log\sqrt{2\pi(k-1)} + \log k\right) + \frac{1}{2}\\
&\le k^2\left(\frac{\log 2}{2} + \log\frac{k}{k-1}\right) + \frac{5}{2}k\log k + k\log\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{e}} + \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

and the bound (3.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 + 2\sum_{1\le i<j\le k}\log|x_i - x_j| - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\log i!\right)\\
&\le 2^{k^2/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2} k^{5k/2}\left(\frac{\pi}{e}\right)^{k/2}\sqrt{e}. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(s \le H_k \le s+\varepsilon)\\
&\le 2^{k^2/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2} k^{5k/2}\left(\frac{\pi}{e}\right)^{k/2}\sqrt{e}\int_{\{s\le \max x_j \le s+\varepsilon\}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2}}{(2\pi)^{(k-1)/2}}\,\lambda_k(dx). \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

On the hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, the function

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(k-1)/2}\,2^{(k-1)/2}}\,e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2}$$

is the probability density function of the $(k-1)$-dimensional normal distribution with mean $(0,\dots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ and covariance $2I_{k-1}$, where I_{k-1} is the $(k-1)$-dimensional identity matrix. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\{s \le \max x_j \le s+\varepsilon\}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2}}{(2\pi)^{(k-1)/2}}\,\lambda_k(dx) = 2^{(k-1)/2} \int\limits_{\{s \le \max x_j \le s+\varepsilon\}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2}}{(4\pi)^{(k-1)/2}}\,\lambda_k(dx) \\
&\le 2^{(k-1)/2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int\limits_{\{s \le x_j \le s+\varepsilon\}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2}}{(4\pi)^{(k-1)/2}}\,\lambda_k(dx) \\
&\le 2^{(k-1)/2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sqrt{2}\,\varepsilon \sup_{\{s \le x_j \le s+\varepsilon\}} \frac{e^{-x_i^2/4}}{4\pi} = \varepsilon (k-1) 2^{(k-1)/2}\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\pi}. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.13), (3.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(s \le H_k \le s+\varepsilon) \\
&\le \varepsilon\, 2^{k^2/2} \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2} (k-1) k^{5k/2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{e}\right)^{k/2} \frac{\sqrt{2e}}{4\pi},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

4 Rate of Convergence Results

Below, we study the rate of convergence in (2.2) and show:

Theorem 4.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for $k = 2, 3, \dots, m$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{LI_n - np_{max}}{\sqrt{np_{max}}} \ge x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(J_k \ge x\right) \right| \\
&\quad \le c\,(m-1)\left((m-1)^2 \sigma_{max}^2 + \left(\frac{2^{k^2/2}}{\sqrt{p_{max}}} \wedge \sqrt{\frac{k}{p_{max}(1-kp_{max})}}\right)\right) \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant and $\sigma_{max} = \max_{1 \le r \le m-1} \sigma_r$. For $k = 1$, (4.14) holds with the minimum replaced by $(p_{max}(1-p_{max}))^{-1/2}$.

Remark 4.2. *For $k = m$, the minimum in (4.14) equals $2^{k^2/2}/\sqrt{p_{max}}$ because $\sqrt{k/\left[p_{max}(1-kp_{max})\right]}$ is then understood to be infinite. In general, the minimum is equal to $2^{k^2/2}/\sqrt{p_{max}}$ if $p_{max} \ge (2^{k^2}-k)/(k2^{k^2})$, and $\sqrt{k/\left[p_{max}(1-kp_{max})\right]}$ if $p_{max} \le (2^{k^2}-k)/(k2^{k^2})$.*

In particular, (4.14) implies the following result which should be contrasted with Theorems 4 and 6 of [3].

Corollary 4.3. *If k is fixed and $m \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ in such a way that $m = o(n^{1/4} \log^{-1/2} n)$, then*

$$\frac{LI_n - np_{max}}{\sqrt{np_{max}}} \Rightarrow J_k.$$

Proof of Corollary 4.3. This immediately follows from Theorem 4.1 since $\sigma^2_{\max} \le 2/m$ and $1/m \le p_{\max} \le 1/k$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Set $L_n := (LI_n - np_{\max})/\sqrt{n}$, and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $r = 1, \dots, m-1$, set also

$$Z_i^r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ X_i = \alpha_r \\ -1 & \text{if } \ X_i = \alpha_{r+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{Var} Z_i^r = \sigma_r^2$ and $\mathbb{E} Z_i^r = \mu_r$. With $\tilde{S}_0^r = 0$ and

$$\tilde{S}_j^r = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{Z_i^r - \mu_r}{\sigma_r}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

L_n can be written, see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9], as

$$L_n = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} r\sigma_r \frac{\tilde{S}_n^r}{\sqrt{n}} + \max_{\substack{0 = j_0 \le j_1 \le \cdots \\ \le j_{m-1} \le j_m = n \\ j_r = j_{r-1},\, r \in I^*}} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sigma_r \frac{\tilde{S}_{j_r}^r}{\sqrt{n}} + E_n, \tag{4.15}$$

where for the remainder term E_n we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|E_n| \ge \varepsilon) < \varepsilon \left(1 + (m-1)^2 \sigma^2_{\max}\right). \tag{4.16}$$

Letting

$$\widetilde{H}_{n,k} = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} r\sigma_r \tilde{B}^r(1) + \max_{\substack{0 = j_0 \le j_1 \le \cdots \\ \le j_{m-1} \le j_m = n \\ j_r = j_{r-1},\, r \in I^*}} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sigma_r \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j_r}{n}\right), \tag{4.17}$$

we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(|L_n/\sqrt{p_{\max}} - J_k| > 2\varepsilon\right) \\ &\le \mathbb{P}\left(\left|L_n - \widetilde{H}_{n,k}\right| > \varepsilon\sqrt{p_{\max}}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widetilde{H}_{n,k} - \sqrt{p_{\max}}\, J_k\right| > \varepsilon\sqrt{p_{\max}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Using Lemma 4.4 and 4.5 below, (4.18) can be upper bounded by

$$\frac{1+(m-1)^2\sigma_{\max}^2}{2}\,\varepsilon\sqrt{p_{\max}} + \exp\left(-\frac{\xi\varepsilon\sqrt{np_{\max}}}{16(m-1)}\right)\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_r\sqrt{n}}{1-|\mu_r|}\right)$$
$$+\,4(m-1)n\exp\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^2 np_{\max}}{8\sigma_{\max}^2(m-1)^2}\right). \tag{4.19}$$

Now, using Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\,\mathbb{P}\left(L_n/\sqrt{p_{\max}}\geq x\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(J_k\geq x\right)|\\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(|L_n/\sqrt{p_{\max}}-J_k|\geq 2\varepsilon\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(x-2\varepsilon\leq J_k\leq x+2\varepsilon\right)\\
&\leq \frac{1+(m-1)^2\sigma_{\max}^2}{2}\,\varepsilon\sqrt{p_{\max}} + \exp\left(-\frac{\xi\varepsilon\sqrt{np_{\max}}}{16(m-1)}\right)\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_r\sqrt{n}}{1-|\mu_r|}\right)\\
&\quad +4(m-1)n\exp\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^2 np_{\max}}{8\sigma_{\max}^2(m-1)^2}\right)\\
&\quad +4\varepsilon\,\min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi(1-kp_{\max})}},\ 2^{k^2/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2}(k-1)\left(\frac{2\pi k^5}{e}\right)^{k/2}\frac{\sqrt{2e}}{4\pi}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

With

$$\varepsilon=\frac{16(m-1)}{\xi\sqrt{p_{\max}}}\,\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

the right hand side of (4.20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{8(m-1)(1+(m-1)^2\sigma_{\max}^2)}{\xi}+\frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{\sigma_r}{1-|\mu_r|}\right)\right.\\
&\quad +4(m-1)n^{-(32/\sigma_{\max}^2\xi^2)\log n-\frac{\log\log n}{\log n}+\frac{3}{2}}\\
&\quad \left.+\frac{64(m-1)}{\xi\sqrt{p_{\max}}}\,\min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{k}{2\pi(1-kp_{\max})}},\ 2^{k^2/2}\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k^2}(k-1)\left(\frac{2\pi k^5}{e}\right)^{k/2}\frac{\sqrt{2e}}{4\pi}\right\}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the claim of the theorem. □

Lemma 4.4. *For any* $\varepsilon>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|L_n-\widetilde{H}_{n,k}\right|>\varepsilon\right)\\
&\quad\leq\left(1+(m-1)^2\sigma_{max}^2\right)\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\exp\left(-\frac{\xi\varepsilon\sqrt{n}}{16(m-1)}\right)\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\left(1+\frac{\sigma_r\sqrt{n}}{1-|\mu_r|}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi>0$ *is an absolute constant.*

Proof. Comparing (4.15) to (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|L_n - \widetilde{H}_{n,k}\right| \qquad (4.21)\\
&\le |E_n| + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\left(1+\frac{r}{m}\right)\sigma_r \max_{0\le j\le n}\left|\frac{\tilde{S}_j^r}{\sqrt{n}} - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|\\
&\le |E_n| + 2\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\sigma_r \max_{0\le j\le n}\left|\frac{\tilde{S}_j^r}{\sqrt{n}} - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|. \qquad (4.22)
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$ and $0 \le r \le m-1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\le j\le n}\left|\frac{\tilde{S}_j^r}{\sqrt{n}} - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right| > \delta\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\le j\le n}\left|\tilde{S}_j^r - \tilde{B}^r(j)\right| > \delta\sqrt{n}\right). \tag{4.23}$$

Applying Sakhanenko's version of the KMT inequality [14], [15] to the partial sums $\tilde{S}_j^r$, $j = 0, \dots, n$, of the iid random variables $(Z_i^r - \mu_r)/\sigma_r$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, in turn, (4.23) can be upper bounded by

$$\left(1 + C_2\sqrt{n}\right)\exp\left(-C_1\delta\sqrt{n}\right). \tag{4.24}$$

By Sakhanenko, see [18], [16, Th. 2.1, Cor. 3.2], here $C_1 = \xi\lambda_r$ and $C_2 = \lambda_r$, where ξ is an absolute constant and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_r &= \sup\left\{\lambda : \lambda\,\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\frac{Z_i^r - \mu_r}{\sigma_r}\right|^3 \exp\left\{\lambda\left|\frac{Z_i^r - \mu_r}{\sigma_r}\right|\right\}\right) \le \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{Z_i^r - \mu_r}{\sigma_r}\right)^2\right\}\\
&= \sigma_r \sup\left\{\lambda : \lambda\,\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_i^r - \mu_r\right|^3 \exp\left\{\lambda\left|Z_i^r - \mu_r\right|\right\}\right) \le \operatorname{Var} Z_i^r\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|Z_i^r - \mu_r| \le 2$, choosing $\lambda = 1/4$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\,\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Z_i^r - \mu_r\right|^3 \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left|Z_i^r - \mu_r\right|\right\}\right)\\
&= \mathbb{E}\left((Z_i^r - \mu_r)^2\,\frac{\left|Z_i^r - \mu_r\right|}{4}\,\exp\left\{\frac{\left|Z_i^r - \mu_r\right|}{4}\right\}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left((Z_i^r - \mu_r)^2\,\frac{1}{2}\,\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)\\
&\le \operatorname{Var} Z_i^r,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\lambda_r \geq \sigma_r/4$. Next, for any $\lambda > 1/\min\{1-\mu_r, 1+\mu_r\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var} Z_i^r &= p_r(1-\mu_r)^2 + p_{r+1}(1+\mu_r)^2 \\
&< \lambda \min\{|1-\mu_r|, |1+\mu_r|\} \left(p_r(1-\mu_r)^2 + p_{r+1}(1+\mu_r)^2\right) \\
&\leq \lambda \left(p_r|1-\mu_r|^3 + p_{r+1}|1+\mu_r|^3\right) \\
&\leq \lambda\, \mathbb{E}\left(|Z_i^r - \mu_r|^3\right) \\
&\leq \lambda\, \mathbb{E}\left(|Z_i^r - \mu_r|^3 \exp\{\lambda\, |Z_i^r - \mu_r|\}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\lambda_r \leq \sigma_r/\min\{1-\mu_r, 1+\mu_r\} = \sigma_r/(1-|\mu_r|)$. Thus, the upper bound (4.24) becomes

$$\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_r\sqrt{n}}{1-|\mu_r|}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\xi\sigma_r}{4}\,\delta\sqrt{n}\right). \tag{4.25}$$

Combining (4.25) and (4.16) with (4.22),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|L_n - \widetilde{H}_{n,k}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(|E_n| + 2\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sigma_r \max_{0\leq j\leq n} \left|\frac{\tilde{S}_j^r}{\sqrt{n}} - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(|E_n| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\leq j\leq n} \left|\frac{\tilde{S}_j^r}{\sqrt{n}} - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4\sigma_r(m-1)}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(1 + (m-1)^2\sigma_{\max}^2\right) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_r\sqrt{n}}{1-|\mu_r|}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\xi\sigma_r}{4}\,\frac{\varepsilon}{4\sigma_r(m-1)}\sqrt{n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. □

Lemma 4.5. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$*,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widetilde{H}_{n,k} - \sqrt{p_{max}}\, J_k\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 4(m-1)n \exp\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^2 n}{8\sigma_{max}^2(m-1)^2}\right).$$

Proof. Comparing (2.3) and (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\widetilde{H}_{n,k} - \sqrt{p_{\max}}\, J_k\right| \\
&\leq \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right) \sigma_r \max_{0\leq j\leq n-1} \sup_{0\leq t\leq 1/n} \left|\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n} + t\right) - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right| \\
&\leq 2\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \sigma_r \max_{0\leq j\leq n-1} \sup_{0\leq t\leq 1/n} \left|\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n} + t\right) - \tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Here, for any $\delta > 0$ and $0 \le r \le m-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\le j\le n-1}\max_{0\le t\le 1/n}\left|\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}+t\right)-\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|>\delta\right)\\
&\le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\le t\le 1/n}\left|\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}+t\right)-\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|>\delta\right)\\
&\le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\le t\le 1}\left|\tilde{B}^r(t)\right|>\delta\sqrt{n}\right)\\
&\le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}2\,\mathbb{P}\left(|N(0,1)|>\delta\sqrt{n}\right)\\
&\le 4n\exp\left(-\delta^2 n/2\right), \qquad (4.27)
\end{aligned}$$

where, above, we have, respectively, used the reflection principle and standard Gaussian estimates for the last two inequalities. Using (4.26) and (4.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widetilde{H}_{n,k}-\sqrt{p_{\max}}\,J_k\right|>\varepsilon\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(2\sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\sigma_r\max_{0\le j\le n-1}\sup_{0\le t\le 1/n}\left|\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}+t\right)-\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|>\varepsilon\right)\\
&\le \sum_{r=1}^{m-1}\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0\le j\le n-1}\sup_{0\le t\le 1/n}\left|\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}+t\right)-\tilde{B}^r\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)\right|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2\sigma_r(m-1)}\right)\\
&\le 4(m-1)n\exp\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^2 n}{8\sigma_{\max}^2(m-1)^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Uniform Binary Sources

In general, it is not known whether or not the bound in Theorem 4.1 can be sharpened to $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{n})$. As shown below, with a more direct proof, for binary alphabets with uniform distribution this is possible.

Note that for binary alphabets with non-uniform distribution, that is for $m = 2$ and $k = 1$, the limiting distribution J_1 is a normal random variable with zero mean and variance $1-p_{\max}$. Although the proof of Theorem 4.1 simplifies in this special case, it still yields

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{LI_n-np_{\max}}{\sqrt{np_{\max}}}\ge x\right)-\bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-p_{\max}}}\right)\right|\le c\left(\sigma_1^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{\max}(1-p_{\max})}}\right)\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where $\bar{\Phi}$ is the standard normal survival function.

In this section, $m = 2$ and assume $\mathbb{P}(X_i = \alpha_1) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = \alpha_2) = 1/2$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$Z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i = \alpha_1 \\ -1 & \text{if } X_i = \alpha_2, \end{cases}$$

and let $S_0 = 0$, $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} Z_i$, $k \geq 1$. Define

$$\widehat{B}_n(t) = \frac{S_{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}} + (nt - [nt])\frac{Z_{[nt]+1}}{\sqrt{n}}, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then, e.g., see [8],

$$\frac{LI_n - n/2}{\sqrt{n}} = -\frac{\widehat{B}_n(1)}{2} + \max_{t\in[0,1]} \widehat{B}_n(t),$$

which implies

$$\frac{LI_n - n/2}{\sqrt{n}} \Longrightarrow -\frac{B(1)}{2} + \max_{t\in[0,1]} B(t),$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion.

Theorem 5.1. *For any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{LI_n - n/2}{\sqrt{n}} \geq x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(-\frac{B(1)}{2} + \max_{t\in[0,1]} B(t) \geq x\right) \right| \leq \frac{24}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Proof. Note that $\max_{t\in[0,1]} \widehat{B}_n(t) = \max_{k=0,\ldots,n} S_k/\sqrt{n}$. Let

$$\bar{F}(m,b) := \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t\in[0,1]} B(t) \geq m, B(1) \leq b\right), \qquad m, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}_n(i,j) &:= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0,\ldots,n} S_k \geq i, S_n \leq j\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t\in[0,1]} \widehat{B}_n(t) \geq \frac{i}{\sqrt{n}}, \widehat{B}_n(1) \leq \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \qquad i,j \in \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

By the reflection principle, for any $m \geq 0$, $b \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}(m,b) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t\in[0,1]} B(t) \geq m, B(1) \geq m + (m-b)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(B(1) \geq 2m - b) = \bar{\Phi}(2m - b),\end{aligned}$$

and for any $i \geq 0$, $j \leq i$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}_n(i,j) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0,\ldots,n} S_k \geq i, S_n \geq i + (i-j)\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq 2i - j\right) = \bar{\Phi}_n\left(2\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\Phi}(z) = \mathbb{P}(B(1) \geq z), \qquad \bar{\Phi}_n(z) = \mathbb{P}\left(S_n/\sqrt{n} \geq z\right), \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As well known, e.g., [20],

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}} \left|\bar{\Phi}(z) - \bar{\Phi}_n(z)\right| \leq \frac{0.7975}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.28}$$

Next, the joint probability density function of $\left(\max_{t\in[0,1]} B(t), B(1)\right)$ is

$$f(m,b) = -\frac{\partial^2 \bar{F}(m,b)}{\partial m \partial b} = 2\,\bar{\Phi}''(2m-b)$$

if $m \geq 0$, $b \leq m$, and zero elsewhere. For any $x \geq 0$, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t\in[0,1]} B(t) - \frac{B(1)}{2} < x\right) = \int_0^{2x}\int_{2m-2x}^{m} f(m,b)\,db\,dm\\ &= \int_0^{2x}\int_{2m-2x}^{m} 2\,\bar{\Phi}''(2m-b)\,db\,dm = -2\int_0^{2x} \left[\bar{\Phi}'(2m-b)\right]_{b=2m-2x}^{b=m}\,dm\\ &= -2\int_0^{2x} \bar{\Phi}'(m) - \bar{\Phi}'(2x)\,dm = 2\,\bar{\Phi}(0) - 2\,\bar{\Phi}(2x) + 2\cdot 2x\,\bar{\Phi}'(2x)\\ &= 2\,\bar{\Phi}(0) - 2\,\bar{\Phi}(2x) - 4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(2x)^2}{2}} = 1 - 2\,\bar{\Phi}(2x) - 4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2x^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Observe that S_n is even if n is even, and S_n is odd if n is odd. In the sequel, *assume that n is even*, in the other case the computation is similar and omitted. The joint probability mass function of $(\max_{k=0,\ldots,n} S_k, S_n)$ is then

$$p(i,j) = \bar{F}_n(i,j) - \bar{F}_n(i+1,j) - \bar{F}_n(i,j-2) + \bar{F}_n(i+1,j-2)$$

for j even, $i \geq 0$, $j \leq i$, and zero elsewhere.

For any $x \geq 0$, with the notation $l = [x\sqrt{n}]$, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t\in[0,1]} \widehat{B}_n(t) - \frac{\widehat{B}_n(1)}{2} < x\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0,\ldots,n} S_k - \frac{S_n}{2} < l\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2l-2} \sum_{\substack{j = 2i-2l+2 \\ j \text{ even}}}^{i} p(i,j) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2l-2} \left[\bar{F}_n(i,i) - \bar{F}_n(i,2i-2l) - \bar{F}_n(i+1,i) + \bar{F}_n(i+1,2i-2l)\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2l-2} \left[\bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{i+2}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l+2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] \\
&= \bar{\Phi}_n(0) + \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l-1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&\qquad - (2l-2)\left[\bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l+2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] \\
&= \bar{\Phi}_n(0) + \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - 2\bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - (2l-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l); \qquad (5.30)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the fact that $\bar{\Phi}_n$ is constant on the intervals $\left[\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{i+2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$, when i is a non-negative even integer.

Let us compare (5.29) and (5.30). Since for any $x \geq 0$, $2x \in \left[\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{2l+2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$, by (5.28),

$$\sup_{x\geq 0}\left| 2\,\bar{\Phi}(2x) - 2\bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right| = \sup_{x\geq 0}\left| 2\,\bar{\Phi}(2x) - 2\bar{\Phi}_n(2x)\right| \leq \frac{1.595}{\sqrt{n}}. \qquad (5.31)$$

Moreover, from symmetry considerations, we know that

$$\bar{\Phi}_n(0) + \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) + \frac{1}{2} - \mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0).$$

Thus,

$$1 - \left(\bar{\Phi}_n(0) + \bar{\Phi}_n\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) = \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{n/2} 2^{-n}. \qquad (5.32)$$

Using Stirling's formula

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\, n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} e^{\frac{1}{12n+1}} \leq n! \leq \sqrt{2\pi}\, n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} e^{\frac{1}{12n}}, \qquad (5.33)$$

and thus the rightmost term in (5.32) is dominated by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\frac{1}{2}n\,\frac{1}{2}n}}\,\frac{n^n}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right)^{n/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right)^{n/2}}\,e^{\frac{1}{12n}-\frac{1}{6n+1}-\frac{1}{6n+1}}\,2^{-n}\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\le\frac{0.8}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.34}$$

Combining (5.31), (5.34) and Lemma 5.2 below will complete the proof. □

Lemma 5.2. *For any* $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{x\ge 0}\left|\,4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2x^2}-(2[x\sqrt{n}]-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n=2[x\sqrt{n}])\,\right|\le\frac{21}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.35}$$

Proof. First, consider the range $x\ge\sqrt{n}/6$. In this case, both terms in the left hand side of (5.35) vanish exponentially fast as $n\to\infty$. Indeed,

$$4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2x^2}<\frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-x^2}\le\frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-n/36}.$$

Using $\sqrt{n}\,e^{-n/36}\le\sqrt{18}\,e^{-18/36}\le\sqrt{18/e}$, we have

$$4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2x^2}\le\frac{4\cdot\sqrt{18}}{\sqrt{2\pi e}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{12}{\sqrt{\pi e}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.36}$$

If $x\ge\sqrt{n}/2+1/\sqrt{n}$, $\mathbb{P}(S_n=2[x\sqrt{n}])=0$. For $\sqrt{n}/6\le x<\sqrt{n}/2+1/\sqrt{n}$, recalling the notation $l=[x\sqrt{n}]$, for $n/6\le l\le n/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(S_n=2l)&=\binom{n}{\frac{n+2l}{2}}2^{-n}=\binom{n}{n/2+l}2^{-n}\\&\le\binom{n}{n/2+n/6}2^{-n}=\binom{n}{2n/3}2^{-n}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

Using Stirling's formula (5.33) again, (5.37) can be upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\frac{2}{3}n\,\frac{1}{3}n}}\,\frac{n^n}{\left(\frac{2}{3}n\right)^{2n/3}\left(\frac{1}{3}n\right)^{n/3}}\,e^{\frac{1}{12n}-\frac{1}{8n+1}-\frac{1}{4n+1}}\,2^{-n}\\&\qquad\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{9}{\sqrt{2n}}\,\frac{1}{\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2/3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1/3}\,2\right]^n}\,e^{-\frac{123}{540n}}\le\frac{9}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-n/18}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(2l-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n=2l)\le\frac{9}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,ne^{-n/18}.$$

Since $ne^{-n/18} \le 18/e$, we have

$$(2l-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l) \le \frac{81}{\sqrt{\pi}\,e}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.38}$$

Hence, (5.36) and (5.38) gives the bound (5.35).

Next, consider the range $0 \le x < \sqrt{n}/6$, with the notation $l = [x\sqrt{n}]$, $0 \le l < n/6$. The left hand side of (5.35) can be upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\left| 4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2x^2} - 4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} \right| \\ + \left| 4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} - (2l-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l) \right|.\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Since the function xe^{-2x^2} is monotone on the intervals $[0, 1/2)$ and $[1/2, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2x^2} - 4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) e^{-2\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) e^{-2\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} - 4x\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,e^{-2x^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) e^{-2\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}\left(1 - e^{-\frac{4l}{n}-\frac{2}{n}}\right) - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2\left(\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2} \\
&\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2l^2/n}\left(1 - e^{-\frac{6l}{n}}\right) - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

Using $1 - e^{-t} \le t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) with $t = 6l/n$, and also $t\,e^{-t} \le 1/e$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) with

$t = 2l^2/n$, the right most term in (5.41) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{12}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{2l^2}{n}e^{-2l^2/n} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\\ &\quad\le \left(\frac{12}{e\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\\ &\quad\le \left(\frac{3}{e} - 1\right)\frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.42}$$

From (5.40) and (5.42) we get the following bound for the first term in (5.39):

$$\left| 4x\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2x^2} - 4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}\right| \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.\tag{5.43}$$

To control the second term in (5.39), let us recall (see, e.g., Feller [5, p.182]) that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(2l/\sqrt{n})^2}{2}}e^{\varepsilon_n},\tag{5.44}$$

where

$$-\frac{3l^2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{4n} - \frac{1}{360n^3} \le \varepsilon_n \le \frac{2l^4}{n^3} - \frac{1}{4n} + \frac{1}{20n^3}, \qquad \text{if } l < n/6.\tag{5.45}$$

Hence, for the second term in (5.39) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| 4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} - (2l-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l)\right|\\ &\le \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{2l}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}|1 - e^{\varepsilon_n}| + 2\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l)\\ &\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}|1 - e^{\varepsilon_n}| + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}e^{\varepsilon_n}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.46}$$

If $l \le \frac{1}{3}n^{5/8}$, (5.45) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{3n^{1/3}} - \frac{1}{4n} - \frac{1}{360n^3} \le \varepsilon_n \le \frac{2}{81\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{4n} + \frac{1}{20n^3},$$

and using $|e^z - 1| \le \max\left\{|z|, \left|z + \frac{z^2}{2}\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right|\right\}$, $|z| < 1$, (5.46) can be upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}\frac{2}{3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}e^{\frac{2}{81\sqrt{n}}}\\ &\quad\le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{e}}\frac{2}{3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e^{\frac{2}{81}}\\ &\quad\le \frac{4\cdot 1.23}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \end{aligned}\tag{5.47}$$

using $ze^{-2z^2} \leq 1/(2\sqrt{e})$ with $z = l/\sqrt{n}$.

If $n^{5/8}/3 < l < n/6$, let us consider (5.45) again and apply to (5.46) the trivial upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}e^{\frac{2l^4}{n^3}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}e^{\frac{2l^4}{n^3}} \\ &\quad = \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{l+1}{\sqrt{n}}\,e^{-\frac{2l^2}{n}+\frac{2l^4}{n^3}} \\ &\quad \leq \frac{8}{6\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,n\,e^{-\frac{2l^2}{n}+\frac{2l^4}{n^3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

In this range of l, it is easy to show that $-\frac{2l^2}{n} + \frac{2l^4}{n^3} \leq -\frac{35}{18}n^{1/4}$, thus (5.48) is itself dominated by

$$\frac{8}{6\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\,n\,e^{-\frac{35}{18}n^{1/4}}.$$

Using $ne^{-35n^{1/4}/18} \leq (72/35e)^4$, we further get the upper bounds

$$\frac{8}{6\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{72}{35e}\right)^4\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{0.44}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.49}$$

Since (5.47) is larger than (5.49), when $0 \leq x < \sqrt{n}/6$, (5.43) and (5.47) give the following upper bound for (5.39):

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|4x\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2x^2} - 4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2}\right| \\ &+ \left|4\frac{l}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-2(l/\sqrt{n})^2} - (2l-2)\,\mathbb{P}(S_n = 2l)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{4\cdot 2.23}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is less than what we had obtained for $x \geq \sqrt{n}/6$. □

References

[1] Baik, J.; Deift, P.; Johansson, K.; On the Distribution of the Length of the Longest Increasing Subsequence of Random Permutations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.,* Vol.12, No.4, pp.1119-1178, 1999.

[2] Baryshnikov, Y.; GUEs and Queues. *Probab. Theory and Related Fields,* Vol.119, pp.256-274, 2001.

[3] Breton, J.C.; Houdré, C.; Asymptotics for Random Young Tableoux When the Word Length and the Alphabet Size Simultaneously Grow to Infinity. To appear in *Bernoulli.*

[4] Etienne, M.P.; Vallois, P.; Approximation of the Distribution of the Supremum of a Centered Random Walk. Application to the Local Score. *Methology and Computing in Applied Probability.* Vol.6, pp.255–275, 2004.

[5] Feller, W; *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications.* Vol.1, 3rd ed., Wiley, New York, 1970.

[6] Glynn, P.W.; Whitt, W.; Departure from Many Queues in Series. *Ann. Appl. Probab.,* Vol.1, pp.546-572, 1991.

[7] Gravner, J.; Tracy, C.; Widom, H.; Limit Theorems for Height Fluctuations in a Class of Discrete Space and Time Growth Models. *J. Stat. Phys.,* Vol.102, Nos.5-6, pp.1085-1132, 2001.

[8] Houdré, C.; Lember, J.; Matzinger, H.; On the Longest Common Increasing Binary Subsequence. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris,* Ser. I, Vol.343, pp.589–594, 2006.

[9] Houdré, C.; Litherland, T.; On the Longest Increasing Subsequence for Finite and Countable Alphabets. *Preprint arXiv:0812.3672.*

[10] Houdré, C.; Xu, H.; On the Limiting Shape of Random Young Tableaux Associated to Inhomogeneous Words. *Preprint arXiv:0901.4138.*

[11] Its, A.R.; Tracy, C.; Widom, H.; Random Words, Toeplitz Determinants, and Integrable Systems. I. Random Matrix Models and their Applications, pp.245–258, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* Vol.40, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[12] Its, A.R.; Tracy, C.; Widom, H.; Random Words, Toeplitz Determinants, and Integrable Systems. II. Advances in Non-linear Mathematics and Science, *Phys. D,* 152-153, pp.199–224, 2001.

[13] Johansson, K.; Discrete Orthogonal Polynomial Ensembles and the Plancherel Measure. *Ann. of Math.,* Vol.153, pp. 199–224, 2001.

[14] Komlós, J.; Major, P.; Tusnády, G.; An approximation of partial sums of independent RVs and the sample DF. I. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete,* Vol.32, pp.111131, 1975.

[15] Komlós, J.; Major, P.; Tusnády, G.; An approximation of partial sums of independent RVs and the sample DF. II. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete,* Vol.34, No.1, pp.33-58, 1976.

[16] Lifshits, M.; Lecture Notes on Strong Approximation. *Pub. IRMA Lille,* Vol.53, No.13, 2000.

[17] Mehta, M.L.; *Random matrices.* 3rd ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam) Vol.142., Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004.

[18] Sakhanenko, A. I.; Rate of convergence in the invariance principle for variables with exponential moments that are not identically distributed. (Russian) Limit theorems for sums of random variables. *Trudy Inst. Mat.,* Vol.3, pp.449, "Nauka" Sibirsk. Otdel., Novosibirsk, 1984.

[19] Tracy, C.; Widom, H.; On the Distributions of the Lengths of the Longest Monotone Subsequences in Random Words. *Probab. Theory and Related Fields,* Vol.119, pp.350-380, 2001.

[20] van Beek, P.; An Application of Fourier Methods to The Problem of Sharpening the Berry-Esséen Inequality. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete,* Vol.23, pp.187–196, 1972.